

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,123 03/24/2004		03/24/2004	Cheng Min Lai	MR1197-615	3674
4586	7590	10/17/2005	EXAMINER		
ROSENBE			YIP, WINNIE S		
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			TE 101	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
222.0011				3636	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	065 4-45 0	10/807,123	LAI, CHENG MIN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Winnie Yip	3636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHED IN A LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSTRUCTION OF A STATE OF THE MAILING INSTRUCTION OF A STATE OF THE MAILING INSTRUCTION OF A LONGE OF THE MAILING IN	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 i	March 2005.					
· -	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖾)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Burea						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment		🗖 .	,				
1) X Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first office action for application Serial No. 10/807,123 filed March 24, 2004.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

The drawing fails to show and provide details to define how "the umbrella is collapsible either manually or automatically" as claimed (claim 4). Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

Art Unit: 3636

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 4 fails to provide a full stop at the end of the sentence, so the claim does not appear to be completed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim. The claims lack any transitional phrases such as "comprising", or "consisting" to define the scope of the claim with respect to what unrecited additional components are included or excluded form the scope of the claim. Therefore, the scope of the claimed invention is confused. It is not clear whether applicant intends to claim a reinforced rib only or a three-folded umbrella with the reinforced ribs. Further, "the second rib" lacks a proper antecedent basis. It is not clear which rib is "the second rib of the frame" as claimed in claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,123 Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young (US Patent No. 5,553,634).

Yang shows and discloses a three-folded umbrella comprising a shaft (1) supporting a frame, the frame including a plurality of first ribs (21), second ribs (23), and tail ribs (25) being pivotally connected one to another, the umbrella being collapsible by manually by a stop engaging a runner (12) moved along the shaft or may be collapsible automatically, wherein the second rib (23) has a U-shaped configuration, and a spring rib (26) having a circular cross section and providing a reinforced rob being received and engaged within the second rib between the first rib and the tail rib, and the umbrella is capably collapsed automatically.

8. Claims 1, 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent No. 8-317811.

The Japanese reference teaches a folded umbrella comprising a shaft (1) supporting a frame, the frame including a plurality of first ribs (3a) and second ribs (3) being pivotally connected one to another, the umbrella being collapsible by manually by a stop (6) engaging a runner (4) moved along the shaft or, wherein the second rib (3 or 7) has a U-shaped

configuration, and a reinforced rod (9) having a circular cross section being received and engaged within the second rib (3 or 7) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent No. 8-317811 as applied to claim 1 above.

Although Japanese reference does not define the reinforced rod may have a generally U-shaped cross section, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the umbrella of Japanese Patent 8-317811 having the reinforced rod being formed with variety cross sections such as a U-shaped or D-shaped cross section as claimed since applicant has not disclosed that the reinforced rod having particular shaped of cross-section provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well to provide an umbrella having the reinforce rod with a U-shaped of cross sections to accommodate the shape of the ribs.

Citations

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Japanese Patents No. 7-222613, No. 7-289330, and No. 8-140,719, Wu,953, Lin et

Art Unit: 3636

al. '001 teach various umbrellas having reinforced rod being received and engaged within a rib of the umbrella frame as similar to the claimed invention.

Inquiry Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Winnie Yip whose telephone number is 571-272-6870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Winnie/Yip

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3636

wsy October 13, 2005